J643RICC UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 13 CR 356 (RMB) V. 5 VAUGHN RICHMOND, 6 Defendant. 7 -----x 8 New York, N.Y. June 4, 2019 9 12:45 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. RICHARD M. BERMAN, 12 District Judge 13 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 BRETT KALIKOW 17 Assistant United States Attorney EDWARD SAPONE 18 Attorney for Defendant 19 ALSO PRESENT: 20 U.S. Probation Officer Ana Maria Gonzalez, EDNY U.S. Probation Officer Lisa Faro, SDNY 21 22 23 24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: In anticipation of today's status hearing, I have reviewed the letter that I received from probation dated -- it's recent. Mr. Sapone, did you date your letter? May 24, 2019. I thought we would go ahead with the hearing today just to get caught up on where things stand for Mr. Richmond, and maybe we could start with probation. MS. GONZALEZ: Mr. Richmond has paid his restitution up to date. He apparently he paid today again, making him current even through June. THE COURT: Is that our number, \$700 a month? MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, that's right. And everything else is -- his job remains, he works at the same job he's had since last year. He apparently just moved, since he lost his apartment to foreclosure. THE COURT: The job, I remember we talked about it the last time, but I can't remember what it is that he's doing. MS. GONZALEZ: I'll let Mr. Richmond's attorney speak to that if that's okay, your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: Malvasia Management Group, real estate development. THE COURT: I think I remembered they were headquartered in Manhattan; is that right? That's correct. THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: So tell me, how do you spell the name of

25

```
1
      that management group?
 2
               THE DEFENDANT: M-A-L-V-A-S-I-A Management Group.
 3
               THE COURT: How is that going?
 4
               THE DEFENDANT: It's still pretty much the same. It's
5
      going fine.
6
               THE COURT: Well, in terms of what exactly are you
 7
      doing there?
8
               THE DEFENDANT: My job is to evaluate, as we say,
9
      underwrite the real estate opportunity in terms of whether or
10
      not it's financially viable, and then to advise on structuring
11
      and offer support in terms of helping to get to a close or
12
      renovation, whichever is necessary, or both.
13
               THE COURT: So any closings that you're responsible
14
      for?
15
               THE DEFENDANT: Well, we're working on -- North
      Carolina fell out but it looks like it's coming out.
16
17
      like that opportunity is coming back. We're working on one
18
      project here in Brooklyn on Fulton Street. There's a new
19
      opportunity that was just presented last night, not too far on
20
     West 24th Street here in New York City. There is -- what else.
21
               THE COURT: Any that you closed? That you actually
22
      closed in deals actually?
23
               THE DEFENDANT: No, we haven't actually closed. No,
24
     we have not. Not at this point.
```

THE COURT: What about renovations, any of those

```
J643RICC
1
      happen?
               THE DEFENDANT: We have participated in four small
 2
 3
      renovations. Small renovation projects.
 4
               THE COURT: You get a salary?
 5
               THE DEFENDANT: I get a salary.
 6
               THE COURT: If I might ask, how much do you get paid?
 7
               THE DEFENDANT:
                               42,000.
               THE COURT: Are you in good standing with your
 8
9
      employer?
10
               THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
11
               THE COURT: So in other words, your salary is not
12
      contingent on deals actually closing.
13
               THE DEFENDANT:
                              No, it's not. He understands that --
      no, it's not contingent on deals closing.
14
15
               THE COURT: So, it is a full-time job I take it?
16
               THE DEFENDANT: It is.
17
               THE COURT: Does that help you, probation, that
      information?
18
19
               MS. GONZALEZ:
                              Sure.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. Let me just look at the judgment
      here. So the supervision is, is it essentially light
21
22
      supervision or you see him often or how does that work?
23
               MS. GONZALEZ: Generally he reports to the office
```

every month, although he missed in May. He generally reports

to the office every month, so I see him on a monthly basis.

24

25

25

So I wonder --

1 THE COURT: In person? MS. GONZALEZ: 2 Hmm-hmm. 3 THE COURT: Anybody want to add anything to 4 probation's point of view? 5 MR. KALIKOW: No. 6 THE COURT: How about the defense. Mr. Sapone? 7 MR. SAPONE: Not on that issue, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: On any issue? 9 MR. SAPONE: May Mr. Richmond sit down? 10 THE COURT: Sure. 11 MR. SAPONE: So your Honor, as counsel, I always want 12 the Court to be satisfied with a client's progress and to feel 13 that whatever is in place is appropriate. And I try to balance 14 that against the client's wishes, if I can assist in making a 15 defendant feel that his voice is heard. 16 So, here, as it relates to the therapy, it's my 17 impression that the Court probably would want Mr. Richmond to continue with therapy. He goes once a week on Thursdays. 18 in discussions with Mr. Richmond, it's become clear to me that 19 20 his opinion would be that it's not very helpful, and he's 21 working every day, and what he does every Thursday is he stops 22 work to get in the car and rush 12 miles in traffic. 23 between the therapy session itself, and the traveling, it's 24 around four hours a week that he feels is not very productive.

THE COURT: What does the therapist say?

MR. SAPONE: Well, the truth is, this just came up

now, 10 minutes ago, so I don't know what he would say.

THE COURT: "She."

MR. SAPONE: She would say, excuse me.

THE COURT: So that's a little bit by the seat of the pants.

MR. SAPONE: Yes.

THE COURT: For my point of view. So, do you have an opinion? My personal view is if you are seeking termination, I would need to hear from the therapist as to why termination is a good thing or what's been accomplished. Everything that's been accomplished, that kind of thing before I could make a determination like that.

MR. SAPONE: Then would I write to the Court or wait until the next appearance.

THE COURT: You could do either one. You could also talk to probation about it, see how they feel. So when you write, if in your letter you could also share their insight and their position.

MR. SAPONE: Sure. So prior to me speaking with the therapist, which I will do, and thank you for that, I did run it by probation. It is my understanding that they would not be opposed to termination of therapy, and that in fact, in the beginning when Mr. Richmond was first evaluated, it was the

opinion of the evaluator that he didn't need the therapy. But I will speak to the therapist, get back to probation, and share what I learned.

THE COURT: And maybe you could get from the therapist what they've accomplished in the therapist's view. Does she think that it's necessary, etc.

MR. SAPONE: Thank you.

THE COURT: You bet.

Anything else anybody wants to cover?

MR. KALIKOW: Your Honor, I would just add, so as your Honor knows, Mr. Richmond does have monthly restitution obligations, which as the probation officer has noted, are now current. The pattern to this point has generally been that payments have been made very close to -- sometimes in advance or shortly after conferences have been scheduled.

THE COURT: Now there's a shocker.

MR. KALIKOW: Yes. It is perhaps to be expected.

Mr. Richmond's supervision, as I understand it, is scheduled to terminate on October 10, 2019. In order to continue to ensure that the restitution payments are being made appropriately, we would ask for a status conference to be set close to but in advance of that date, perhaps towards the end of September or early October. And to the extent that Mr. Richmond is current at that point, we would request to be able to write to your Honor to request that the conference be adjourned.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Let me give you a followup date. Remind me, if you would, this is probably a task for the government, if Mr. Richmond stays current with his restitution, where would he be at October of 2019 in the broader scheme of things? His restitution amount is a pretty big number. MR. KALIKOW: Yes, your Honor. I don't have the calculation in front of me of the total amount of restitution paid to date. THE COURT: That's probably a small portion of \$200,000. MR. KALIKOW: That would be our expectation, your Honor. THE COURT: So you should be planning a visit with the financial litigation unit as we approach the October date, assuming everything is going well. I was going to say September 17 at 10:30. Hopefully it will be the final conference. MR. SAPONE: That's good for us, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Is that all right for everybody else? MS. GONZALEZ: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. MR. KALIKOW: Yes, Judge. Thank you. The 17th at 10:30, your Honor. THE COURT: Yes.

MS. GONZALEZ: That appearance is contingent upon --

THE COURT: To me it's not contingent. To me it is an appearance. So, if a reason for it not happening shows up, I guess I'll read about it. But I think you should plan on being here. Okay? Great. Nice to see everybody.

MR. SAPONE: Nice to see, your Honor. Thank you very much.

THE COURT: By the way, just to your point, I wasn't being facetious when you said the payments come in proximity to the scheduled conferences. In point of fact — and you also should probably know I hold these conferences in most every supervised release situation, and one of the reasons is that, for whatever reason, it has some effect in most instances one way or another on performance during supervision. So, that's point number one.

Point number two, this is really for Mr. Richmond's benefit particularly, and Mr. Sapone. So, supervised release is not a penalty phase. It's not like a term of incarceration which has some punitive aspects to it. Supervised release is the period when people make productive development which has led them away from the reason that we all got here in the first place, and it's designed to be helpful. So, and lots of people, when it comes to therapy, because therapy is not an uncommon aspect of supervision, particularly in my cases, people do say that, oh, it's a pain in the neck to get there, got to get in the car and all that stuff. Sometimes that's

because it's not so easy to be in therapy, and to be on time, be regular, and also communicative with the therapist. So, a lot of people find it uncomfortable, actually, which is actually one of the things that it probably is supposed to be, at least for some period of time.

MR. SAPONE: Yes.

THE COURT: So, but anyway, so that is one of the reasons I do have these periodic conferences, is not only to see how things are going, but hopefully to help things continue to go in the right direction.

MR. SAPONE: Yes. So before I call the therapist, I think Mr. Richmond and I should spend some time together. Yes.

THE COURT: Exactly. Great. Nice to see you, Mr. Richmond.

MR. KALIKOW: Thank you, Judge.

(Adjourned)